

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,068	03/02/2004	Kenji Sato	3531 . 69959	2801	
. 7:	590 06/03/2005	·	EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
GREER, BURNS & CŘAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Dr.			1773		
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					v			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		{'			
Office Action Summary		10/791,068	SATO, KENJI					
		Examiner	Art Unit		\exists			
		Holly Rickman	1773					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
Disposit	ion of Claims				ŀ			
5)□ 6)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	⁻ O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pelhos et al. (US 2004/0115481.

Pelhos et al. disclose a magnetic recording disk having multiple seed layers disposed on a substrate wherein at least one of the seed layers has grains wherein the crystallographic texture is tilted with respect to a line normal to the substrate surface. The seed layers are formed by an oblique deposition technique (see paragraphs 11, 30-31, 63). The reference teaches that multiple crystalline layers are deposited on the seedlayer including a magnetic recording layer and a protective overcoat.

The reference teaches that the seedlayer may be formed of separate layers or a single layer of continuously changing material (see paragraph 31). The examiner takes the position that the embodiment requiring a single layer of continuously changing material reads on applicant's claim limitation directed to a recording medium "comprising" a first and a second seedlayer "being formed of the same material." This limitation includes open claim language; "comprising" and "formed of" do not exclude unrecited components from either of the

Art Unit: 1773

seedlayers. Thus, the examiner has interpreted the claim to mean that each of the two seedlayers must contain one or more materials that are the same but each layer may contain said one of more materials in different amount. It is noted that requiring that the materials are the same is broader than requiring that the compositions are the same.

With respect to a "first seed layer" and a "second seed layer" as set forth in claim 1, the examiner maintains that the gradient layer taught by the prior art is actually made of up multiple strata or layers that read on this aspect of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelhos et al. (US 2004/0115481) in view of Fujimaki et al. (US 2003/0228496).

Pelhos et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims as detailed above, except for the limitations directed to features of the magnetic recording device including the use of an actuator for moving the magnetic head with respect to the recording medium.

Fujimaki et al. teach that it is known in the art that a magnetic recording device includes a recording medium in combination with a magnetic head and an actuator arm for moving the head with respect to the medium.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art to Pelhos et al. fail to teach or suggest the use of a seedlayer containing Al and Ru. The prior art fails to teach or suggest a motivation to substitute the claimed material for the seedlayer materials taught by Pelhos et al.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Pelhos fails to teach that the first and second seedlayers are formed from the same material. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons detailed above in paragraph 2.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,068 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773